Understanding Misunderstandings in Source Code

Dan Gopstein J. Iannacone, Y. Yan, L. DeLong, Y. Zhuang, M. Yeh, J. Cappos NYU, UCCS, PSU

atomsofconfusion.com

What is confusing?

- goto statements
- Hungarian notation
- Pointers vs References
- Single Entry, Single Exit

Who chose these? Why do we know they are confusing?

Rob Pike on Pointers

Pointers have a bad reputation in academia, because they are considered too dangerous, dirty somehow. But I think they are powerful notation, which means they can help us express ourselves clearly.

Rob Pike - Notes on Programming in C

Rob Pike on Pointers

Pointers have a bad reputation in academia, because they are considered too dangerous, dirty somehow. But I think they are powerful notation, which means they can help us express ourselves clearly.

Rob Pike - Notes on Programming in C

A theory of confusion in software that is objective, rigorous, and empirical.

Atom of Confusion

The smallest piece of code that can cause confusion.



Atom of Confusion

The smallest piece of code that can cause confusion.



Confusion

When a person and a machine read the same piece of code, yet come to different conclusions about its output.



How we objectively identified confusion



How we objectively identified confusion



Comparison of places to look for atom candidates



International Obfuscated C Code Contest (IOCCC)

High density and wide variety of confusing code



		Atom	Example		
Atom Candidates		Reversed Subscripts	1["abc"]		
		Conditional Operator	V2 = (V1==3)?2:V2		
Atom	Example	Comma Operator	V3 = (V1+=1, V1)		
Change of Literal Encoding	printf("%d", 013)	Pre-Increment /Decrement	V1 = ++V2;		
Preprocessor in Statement	int V1 = 1 #define M1 1 +1;	Infix Operator Precedence	0 && 1 2		
		Omitted Curly Braces	if (V) F(); G();		
Assignment as Value	V1 = V2 = 3;	Repurposed Variable	argc = 7;		
Logic as Control Flow	V1 && F2();	Implicit Predicate	if (4 % 2)		
Macro Operator Precedence	#define M1 64-1 2*M1	Dead, Unreachable, Repeated	V1 = 1; V1 = 2;		
		Arithmetic as Logic	(V1-3) * (V2-4)		
Post-Increment /Decrement	V1 = V2++;	Pointer Arithmetic	"abcdef"+3		
Type Conversion	(double)(3/2)	Constant Variables	int V1 = 5; printf("%d", V1); 13		

How we objectively identified confusion



Atom Removal Transformation

To replace code with functionally equivalent code, with the intent to reduce its level of confusion. Example snippet question

What does this code output?

```
#define M1 64 - 1
void main(){
    int V1;
    V1 = M1 * 2;
    printf("%d\n", V1);
}
```

Example snippet question

What about this code?

void main(){
 int V1;
 V1 = 64 - 1 * 2;
 printf("%d\n", V1);
}

Example snippet question

Macro Operator Precedence

With Atom

Without Atom

#define M1 64 - 1

void main(){

void main(){
 int V1;
 V1 = 64 - 1 * 2;
 printf("%d\n", V1);
}

}

Experiment: Are atom candidates confusing?

- 11 person pilot
- 73 subjects
- 3 examples of each atom candidate
- Partial randomized counterbalanced design
- Analyzed with Durkalski adjusted McNemar test

Doculto			Atom	Effect	p-value
Results			Conditional Operator	0.23	1.74e-05
Atom	Effect	p-value	Comma Operator	0.23	2.46e-04
Change of Literal Encoding	0.60	2.93e-14	Pre-Increment / Decrement	0.16	6.89e-04
Preprocessor in Statement	0.47	8.53e-11	Infix Operator Precedence	0.14	5.90e-05
Assignment as Value	0.42	3.78e-10	Omitted Curly	0.14	8.64e-03
Logic as Control	0.41	5.62e-09	Braces		
Flow			Repurposed Variable	0.12	6.66e-03
Macro Operator Precedence	0.36	1.77e-07	Implicit Predicate	0.10	4.27e-03
Post-Increment / Decrement	0.34	6.98e-08	Dead, Unreachable, Repeated	0.03	0.059
	0.00		Arithmetic as Logic	0.03	0.248
Type Conversion	0.29	5.15e-07	Pointer Arithmetic	0.01	0.752
Reversed Subscripts	0.23	1.52e-06	Constant Variables	0.00	1.000 ²⁰

Results

Smallest Effect: Implicit Predicate		Largest Effect: Change of Literal Encoding	
	Difference in correct responses: 10%	Difference in correct responses: 60%	
Atom	if (4 % 2)	printf("%d", 013)	
No Atom	if ((4 % 2) != 0)	printf("%d", 11)	

How we objectively identified confusion



anonymous.c

First IOCCC winner 1984

int i;main(){for(;i["]<i;++i){
--i;}"];read('-'-',i+++"hell\
o, world!\n",'/'/'));}read(j
,i,p){write(j/p+p,i---j,i/i);}</pre>

Normalization

}

```
int i;main(){for(;i["]<i;++i){--i;}"];read('-'-',i+++"hell\</pre>
o, world!\n",'/'/');}read(j,i,p){write(j/p+p,i---j,i/i);}
                    #include <stdio.h>
                    void F1(int V1, char *V2, int V3) {
                      printf("a: %d %s %d\n", V1, V2, V3);
                      int V4 = V1 / V3 + V3;
                      char *V5 = V2 - - V1:
                      int V6 = (int)V2 / (int)V2;
                      printf("b: %d %s %d\n", V4, V5, V6);
                    }
                    int V7:
                    int main() {
                      for (; V7["ab"];
                           F1('a' - 'a',
                             V7++ + "zy",
'z' / 'z'))
                      printf("c\n");
```

Measure confusion from atoms in bigger programs

Original: int i;main(){for(;i["]<i;++i){--i;}"];read('-'-',i+++"hell\ o, world!\n",'/'/'));}read(j,i,p){write(j/p+p,i---j,i/i);}

Obfuscated

```
#include <stdio.h>
void F1(int V1, char *V2, int V3) {
  printf("a: %d %s %d\n", V1, V2, V3);
  int V4 = V1 / V3 + V3;
  char *V5 = V2-- - V1;
  int V6 = (int)V2 / (int)V2;
  printf("b: %d %s %d\n", V4, V5, V6);
int V7;
int main() {
  for (; V7["ab"
       F1('a' - 'a',
          V7++ + "zy",
          'z' / 'z'))
  printf("c\n");
```

Clarified

```
#include <stdio.h>
void F1(int V1, char *V2, int V3) {
  printf("a: %d %s %d\n", V1, V2, V3);
  int V4 = (V1 / V3) + V3;
  char *V5 = V2 - V1;
  V2 = V2 - 1;
  int V6 = (int)V2 / (int)V2;
  printf("b: %d %s %d\n", V4, V5, V6);
}
int V7;
int main() {
  for (; "ab"[V7] != 0;) {
    F1(97 - 97,
       V7 + "zy",
       122 / 122);
    V7 = V7 + 1:
  printf("c\n");
                                       25
```

Impact Experiment

$$V1/V3+V3 => (V1/V3)+V3$$

$$V2--$$
 => $V2$ = $V2$ - 1

'z' =>	122
--------	-----

Experiment: Impact of removing atoms from program

- 10 person pilot
- 43 subjects
- 4 programs (the normalized IOCCC winner from which atom candidates were derived)
- Partial randomized counterbalanced design
- Analyzed with t-test

Rates of correct output



Further positive indicators

When atoms are removed

- People give up **1/4** as often
- People get lost 1/2 as often
- People write **1/3** more output
- People are **5x** more likely to be totally correct

Remaining Confusion



30

Remaining Confusion

From atoms?



31

Remaining confusion (identifying false negatives)

What about confusion that remained?

- Static Integer Initialization to 0
- "ab"[1]
- "ab"+1

Our Work



Style Guides conflicting our findings

- Assignment as Value GNU
- Pointer Arithmetic Rob Pike
- Omitted Curly Braces Linux, NASA
- Conditional Operator Kernighan and Pike

GNU Coding Standards:

"Try to avoid assignments inside if-conditions (assignments inside while-conditions are ok)."

GNU Coding Standards:

"Try to avoid assignments inside if-conditions (assignments inside while-conditions are ok)."

 $\phi = 0.64$ $\phi = 0.52$ if (a = 0) while (a = 0) Missing from Style Guides

Preprocessor in Statement

if (V1 < V2) {
 #define M1 1
 #define M2 2
}</pre>

Summary

- A method for quantitatively and objectively measuring misunderstanding of code
 - Extracted patterns from IOCCC winners
 - Objectively validate atom candidates (false positives)
 - Objectively measure impact of atoms in larger programs (false negatives)
- Findings conflict popular style guidelines
- All materials / data available

tonight @ 17:45 Room F0.530

- add to the dataset
- debate rigorous methodologies for creating such datasets
- discuss appropriate ways to analyze the dataset
- help to guide future data collection efforts
- get a head start on your own analysis using the data

All are welcome!

Thank You

Understanding Misunderstandings in Source Code

Dan Gopstein J. Iannacone, Y. Yan, L. DeLong, Y. Zhuang, M. Yeh, J. Cappos NYU, UCCS, PSU

atomsofconfusion.com